

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/552,403	10/07/2005	Tapio Koivukangas	KOL.198.WUS	9317	
7590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER			
Hollingsworth & Funk			KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
8009 34th Aven Suite 125	iue South	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, MN 55425			2829		
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/552,40	)3	KOIVUKANGAS ET AL.	:				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ernest F.		2829					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THE 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even incation. It ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATED THE CO	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>31 July 2006</u> .							
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap	plication.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			·				
·—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objecti								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	he correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	J).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. No	te the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have bee	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority docume	ents have been re	ceived in this National Stage					
•	application from the Internations	·							
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not red	ceived.					
	•								
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948)		fail Date mal Patent Application					
	rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>0506, 0806</u> .		6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2829

The restriction requirement of July 3, 2006 is withdrawn and all claims examined.

Page 2

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birdsley et al in view of Gupta or Kolof et al. With regard to claims 1, 4-8, 10, 11, 14-18 and 20, Birdsley et al show testing of IC devices by transmitting an optical or line signal to a test circuit on an IC device under test wherein the test circuit tests the IC device and transmits the results of the test via an optical or line signal to an external receiver but does not disclose the device being tested to be a cell phone or mobile station. Gupta and Kolof et al both show cell phones or mobile stations with self test capability. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the self test procedures of Birdsley et al to test cell phones or mobile stations as suggested by Gupta or Kolof et al because one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that so doing would enable testing with minimum external test facility. Note that in Birdsley et al indication that information to and from the device under test can be transmitted by line or optical signals is presented in columns 1 and 2. The test routine of Birdsley et al includes test of functional elements. With regard to claims 2, 3, 12 and 13, the device of Birdsley et al, see column 2, lines 23-31 of Birdsley et al, is connected to a feed line and the response evaluated is an electric response. With

Application/Control Number: 10/552,403

Art Unit: 2829

Page 3

regard to claims 9 and 19, the apparatus of Birdsley et al tests plural die, see column 4 of Birdsley et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

October 12, 2006

ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER